

A Call to Arms

WHO, EASL, AASLD, and APASL are among the 17 organisations calling for global action on SLD,¹ a leading cause of liver-related morbidity and mortality²

Early detection and management of MASLD can prevent progression to MASH, resulting in:

- ✔ Better outcomes: ~10–25% of patients with MASH may develop advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis³
- ✔ Lower health and societal costs: In Europe, MASH was responsible for **up to €1,292 million** of healthcare costs and €41,536 to €90,379 million of DALY-related wellbeing costs in 2018⁴

Main risk factors:⁵

- ✔ Obesity
- ✔ Type 2 diabetes

SLD is the new nomenclature for the metabolic-associated fatty liver disease spectrum, including:²

MASLD, formerly NAFLD ²	MASH, formerly NASH ²
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Caused by a build-up of fat in the liver⁶ ○ Usually asymptomatic⁵ ○ Affects more than one-third of adults, and more than one in 10 children¹ ○ Global prevalence increased by 50% between 1991–2019⁷ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The most severe form of MASLD⁸ ○ Can lead to cirrhosis, liver failure, and liver cancer⁹ ○ Over 16% of people with MASLD have MASH¹⁰

Ultrasound-Guided Attenuation Parameter (UGAP)



Non-invasive quantifiable image guided techniques expand adoption of ultrasound



GE HealthCare's UGAP solution, on the LOGIQ™ ultrasound portfolio:

- 1 Automated measurement algorithm for optimum measurement range¹⁴
- 2 Guided image acquisition for quantifiable, repeatable results
- 3 Proven intra/interobserver reliability independent of:
 - ✔ breathing manipulations¹⁵
 - ✔ patient positioning¹⁵
 - ✔ patient diet status¹⁵
- 4 Recommended quick acquisition protocols for:
 - ✔ large-scale use
 - ✔ reproducible results, even for non-ultrasound experts, including nurses and technicians
- 5 Well correlated to MRI-PDFF,¹³ with proven cut-off values¹⁶

The Assessment Pathway

Liver biopsy remains the gold standard for detecting SLD,¹¹ but:

- ✗ it is invasive¹¹
- ✗ there is inter/intraobserver variability¹¹

MRI-PDFF is a non-invasive technique to accurately evaluate liver fat fraction,⁶ but:

- ✗ it is expensive⁶
- ✗ there is limited access⁶

Traditional B-mode ultrasound:

- ✗ low sensitivity in patients who are severely obese¹²
- ✗ requires specialist sonographer expertise¹³
- ✗ intraobserver subjectivity

UGAP is well correlated to MRI-PDFF¹³

- ✔ cheaper and more accessible than MRI⁶
- ✔ provides staging information to guide management⁶

Key Takeaways



MASLD is a global health emergency. Early diagnosis is key to preventing progression to MASH



Liver biopsy is invasive and unfeasible for large-scale screening



MRI-PDFF is well correlated with biopsy but not suitable for screening at-risk patients or monitoring therapy



Ultrasound is dependent on user expertise, and is less sensitive and more subjective than MRI-PDFF



UGAP is well correlated to MRI-PDFF. It offers easy, quick, and repeatable quantitative measurement of steatosis



UGAP benefits both clinicians and patients, as it allows for early detection of MASLD, contributing to the more effective management of SLD

Abbreviations:

AASLD: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; APASL: Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver; DALY: disability-adjusted life year; EASL: European Association for the Study of the Liver; MASH: metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis; MASLD: metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease; MRI-PDFF: magnetic resonance imaging proton density fat fraction; NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH: non-alcohol steatohepatitis; SLD: steatotic liver disease; UGAP: ultrasound-guided attenuation parameter; WHO: World Health Organization.

Products mentioned in the material may be subject to government regulations and may not be available in all countries. Shipment and effective sale can only occur after approval from the regulator. Please check with local GE HealthCare representative for details.

© 2024 GE HealthCare. LOGIQ is a trademark of GE HealthCare. GE is a trademark of General Electric Company used under trademark license.

July 2024 | JB29878XX

References:

1. Krag A et al. Uniting to defeat steatotic liver disease: a global mission to promote healthy livers and healthy lives. *J. Hepatol.* 2023;79(5):1076-8.
2. van Erpecum KJ et al. The new international nomenclature for steatotic liver disease: one step forward towards enhanced awareness for healthier life. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2023;117:1-2.
3. Lekakis V, Papatheodoridis GV. Natural history of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2024;122:3-10.
4. Allen AM et al. Healthcare and socioeconomic costs of NAFLD: a global framework to navigate the uncertainties. *J Hepatol.* 2023;79(1):209-17.
5. Eskridge W et al. Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease and metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis: the patient and physician perspective. *J Clin Med.* 2023;12(19):6216.
6. Lauschke VM. Practice guidance documents for the diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease-recent updates and open questions. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr.* 2023;12(5):780-4.
7. Younossi ZM et al. The global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH): a systematic review. *Hepatology.* 2023;77(4):1335-47.
8. Piazzolla VA, Mangia A. Noninvasive diagnosis of NAFLD and NASH. *Cells.* 2020;9(4):1005.
9. Petta S et al. The first MASH drug therapy on the horizon: current perspectives of resmetirom. *Liver Int.* 2024;DOI:10.1111/liv.15930.
10. Pedrosa M et al. Global prevalence of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH): findings from a targeted literature review. *Value in Health.* 2018;21:S82.
11. Ryu H et al. Reproducibility of liver stiffness measurements made with two different 2-dimensional shear wave elastography systems using the comb-push technique. *Ultrasonography.* 2019;38(3):246-54.
12. de Moura Almeida A et al. Fatty liver disease in severe obese patients: diagnostic value of abdominal ultrasound. *World J Gastroenterol.* WJG. 2008;14(9):1415.
13. Imajo K et al. Utility of ultrasound-guided attenuation parameter for grading steatosis with reference to MRI-PDFF in a large cohort. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2022;20(11):2533-41.
14. Bende F et al. Ultrasound-guided attenuation parameter (UGAP) for the quantification of liver steatosis using the controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) as the reference method. *Med Ultrason.* 2021;23(1):7-14.
15. Zhao Y et al. Reproducibility of ultrasound-guided attenuation parameter (UGAP) to the noninvasive evaluation of hepatic steatosis. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12(1):2876.
16. GE HealthCare. Ultrasound-guided attenuation parameter (UGAP). 2024. Available at: <https://www.gehealthcare.com/-/jssmedia/GEHC/US/Files/Products/Ultrasound/whitepaper-ugap-giu-logiq-may-2024-jb29271xx>. Last accessed: 24 July 2024.